

Steeple's Renewables Project EN010163

Written Submission following CAH1 11th February 2026

Mr & Mrs Barlow

We thank the developer for their previous e-mail responses to confirm that our residential home nor our field on [REDACTED] and our yard on [REDACTED] is within the red-line boundary of the proposed development.

During the meeting, the following clarifications were sort by us :

- Why the CPO sort permanent rights of access over our interests when the project is only temporary.
- That Access to the yard on [REDACTED] and our agricultural field on [REDACTED] would not be impeded at any time.
- As to why a number of residents on [REDACTED] that we have spoken to (both before and after) the CAH do not appear to have had written notifications about the potential CPO.

The applicant confirmed during the meeting that the works sort on [REDACTED] in the immediate vicinity of our field were for mitigation only and as such no cables or access to land we have interest in that area would be affected whatsoever. (we also note that the book of reference does not include any of our interests in this area).

The Examining Authority suggested that the developer speak to Mrs Barlow following the meeting to discuss the rights sort relating to the access to the yard on [REDACTED]

Mrs Barlow thanks the developer and their legal advisors for taking the time immediately following the CAH, and awaits written confirmation of those discussions (by e-mail) namely :

- Although the CPO sort is deemed permanent it is referenced back to the DCO which is time limited, and as such the CPO will fall away when the project and DCO is satisfied i.e. on completion of decommissioning.
- The red line extends only to the highway and not to our access track, and that we own a right to the subsoil under the highway in which the developer may need to lay cables, hence the need for the CPO (although we did say a negotiated process would have been much simpler and less stressful), and that access to our farm and livestock would not for a matter of hours not days.
- Costs (reasonable) relating to any CPO sort by us should the DCO be granted would be borne by the developer.

The matter of certain neighbouring properties not knowing of the potential CPO is not a direct concern for us but is a matter that the developer may want to take up given verbal comments made to us by more than one property owner along this stretch of highway.